



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/734,023

12/11/2003

Anne Vanet

1421-03

2355

35811 7590 02/10/2009  
IP GROUP OF DLA PIPER US LLP  
ONE LIBERTY PLACE  
1650 MARKET ST, SUITE 4900  
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

EXAMINER

SKOWRONEK, KARLHEINZ R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1631

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/10/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/734,023	<b>Applicant(s)</b> VANET ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> KARLHEINZ R. SKOWRONEK	<b>Art Unit</b> 1631	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KARLHEINZ R. SKOWRONEK.

(3) Prof. Vanet.

(2) Ms. Majidi.

(4) Mr. Peter Long.

Date of Interview: 03 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Proposed claim amendments.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Rose. Zhang and Collins.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed claim amendments were discussed. Potential remedies to overcome the rejection of the claimed subject matter under 35 USC 101 and that avoid introducing new issues under 35 USC 112 first paragraph were discussed. The proposed response regarding the rejection under 35 USC 103(a) were discussed. No agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/KARLHEINZ R SKOWRONEK/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1631